IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 18176 of 2004

Between:

V. Narasamma W/o V. Narayana Reddy
R/o Gorantla Village & Mandal Anantapur District

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Revenue Divisional Officer, Penukonda Revenue Division,

Anantapur District
2 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Gorantla Madnal Office, Gorantla,

Anantapur District

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order, more particularly in the nature of Writ of Certiorari, by calling for the records pertaining to Enquiry Conducted by the Revenue Inspector, Gorantla Mandal, Anantapur District and declare the said Enquiry as irregular and invalid, which is violative of principles of natural justice in issuing the show Cause Notice dt 12-09-2004 and quash the same.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.K.MADHUSUDHAN REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR CIVIL SUPPLIES

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

-

This writ petition is filed challenging the show cause notice dated 12-09-2004 issued by the 1st respondent-Revenue Divisional Officer, Penukonda Revenue Divison, Anantapur District calling upon the petitioner to explain as to why his authorization should not be cancelled for the irregularities specified therein.

The petitioner is the fair price shop dealer of Shop No.1 of Gorantla Village & Mandal, Ananthapur District. Admittedly, no order has been passed as on today in pursuance of the impugned show-cause notice.

The petitioner states that all the allegations made against him are false and without any basis and that in response to the impugned show cause notice, he has already submitted his explanation. If that be so, it is for the first respondent to conduct the necessary enquiry in accordance with law and to pass appropriate orders. As on today, there is no cause of action for filing the writ petition and the writ petition is premature.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

07-10-2004

sh/vs

To

1 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Penukonda Revenue Division,

Anantapur District
2 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Gorantla Madnal Office, Gorantla,

Anantapur District

3 2CCs to the G.P for Civil Supplies, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad(O.U.T).

4 2CD copies